



1

Agenda

- Investigator Responsibilities
- Serving Impartially
- Investigation Requirements & Best Practices
- Sharing Evidence and the Investigative Report
- Understanding "Relevant Evidence"
- Recordkeeping

FRANCZEK

2



3

What is Sexual Harassment under Title IX?

Unwanted conduct based on sex that is so severe, pervasive, and objectively offensive that it effectively denies access to a school's programs or activities

Employee quid pro quo


Sexual assault, domestic violence, dating violence, and stalking

All of the above

None of the above

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at pollev.com/app

4



5

Which of these should be reported to the Title IX Coordinator to consider potential dismissal?

All parties agree that the conduct did not occur in the United States

All parties agree that the conduct occurred off campus, outside of school time, and without use of school resources

The Respondent's employment or enrollment ends

The Complainant's employment or enrollment ends

All of the above

None of the above

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at polllev.com/app

6

When must a school respond to Title IX sexual harassment?

A school with actual knowledge of [Title IX] sexual harassment in an education program or activity against a person in the United States must respond

7



DISMISSALS

Mandatory if conduct alleged: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Not Title IX Sexual HarassmentDid not occur in the school's program or activityDid not occur in the United States <p>**can still address under non-T9 SH policy</p>	Permissive if: <ul style="list-style-type: none">Complainant requests to withdraw in writingRespondent's enrollment or employment endsSpecific circumstances prevent school from gathering evidence sufficient to reach a determination (e.g., passage of time, lack of cooperation by complainant)
---	--

8

Title IX Investigator Responsibilities

FRANCZEK

9

Investigator Responsibilities

1

Identify and
interview parties
and witnesses

2

Gather and
assess evidence

3

Share evidence
with parties and
provide for
written response

4

Write and share
investigative
report

FRANCZEK

10

Serving Impartially

FRANCZEK

11

Who should investigate?

- Trained
- No: bias, conflict of interest, prejudgment
- Appeal: can be based on improper, biased/conflicted investigator

FRANCZEK

12

Standard

- Declined to define "bias," "conflict of interest," "prejudge"
- The Department encourages recipients to apply an objective (whether a reasonable person would believe bias exists), common sense approach to evaluating whether a particular person serving in a Title IX role is biased, exercising caution not to apply generalizations that might unreasonably conclude that bias exists....

FRANCZEK

13

Cameron's Complaint

- Cameron, a freshman, claims that another student, a senior, Parker, sexually assaulted Cameron
- Can you serve as the investigator?

FRANCZEK

14

You (the Investigator) signed the Formal Complaint as Title IX Coordinator or designee. Is that a conflict of interest?

Yes

No

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at pollev.com/app

15

You (the Investigator) attend the same church as Parker. Is that a conflict of interest?


Yes

No

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at pollev.com/app

16

You have a history of working as a victim advocate. Is that a conflict of interest?




Yes No

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at pollev.com/app

17

You (the Investigator) have had training on trauma informed interviewing practices. Does that create bias or the risk of prejudgment?



Yes No

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at pollev.com/app

18

What Might be Bias, Conflict, Prejudgment?

- Discouraging a party from submitting certain evidence
- Using terms like “victim” and “perpetrator”
- Permitting credibility inferences or conclusions based on party status

FRANCZEK

19

What Might be Bias, Conflict, Prejudgment?

- Using sex stereotypes
- Placing the burden of proof on one party
- Unauthorized interim suspensions or other penalties before conclusion of grievance process

FRANCZEK

20

What Likely Is Not...

- Deciding an allegation warrants an investigation
- Being an employee (and even attorney)
- Finding in favor of one party over another

FRANCZEK

21

Investigation: Required Elements

FRANCZEK

22

Investigation

- Occurs when there is a “formal complaint”
- **Must contain specific elements**
- Must treat parties equally for any additional elements

FRANCZEK

23

Step One: Notice of Allegations

- By Title IX Coordinator or Investigator
- Promptly upon receipt of a formal complaint
- To all known parties

FRANCZEK

24

Step One: Notice of Allegations

Include

- Notice of grievance process
- Notice of allegations, including sufficient details
- Statement that respondent is presumed not responsible until end of process

With sufficient time to prepare a response
before any initial interview

FRANCZEK

25


Step One: Notice of Allegations

Sufficient details include:

- Identities of the parties involved in the incident, if known
- The conduct allegedly constituting sexual harassment under Title IX
- The date and location of the alleged incident, if known

FRANCZEK

26




27


Investigation
34 C.F.R.
106.45(b)(5)

- **Burden of proof on school**
- Certain treatment records cannot be obtained without voluntary, written consent
- No restriction of rights of parties to discuss allegations or gather or present evidence
- Same opportunities for others present during interviews or related proceedings (e.g., attorney or non-attorney advisor)
- Written notice to parties of date, time, participants, purpose, and location of each investigative interview with sufficient time to prepare
- All evidence provided to parties and their advisors with 10 days to respond before report
- Written investigative report "fairly summarizes the relevant evidence" provided to parties and advisors at least 10 days before hearing or other determination of responsibility

28



29



Investigation
34 C.F.R.
106.45(b)(5)

- Burden of proof on school
- **Certain treatment records cannot be obtained without voluntary, written consent**
- No restriction of rights of parties to discuss allegations or gather or present evidence
- Same opportunities for others present during interviews or related proceedings (e.g., attorney or non-attorney advisor)
- Written notice to parties of date, time, participants, purpose, and location of each investigative interview with sufficient time to prepare
- All evidence provided to parties and their advisors with 10 days to respond before report
- Written investigative report "fairly summarizes the relevant evidence" provided to parties and advisors at least 10 days before hearing or other determination of responsibility

30


Treatment Records

- Can't access, consider, disclose, or use records
- Made by a physician, psychologist, or other recognized professional
- Which are made and maintained in connection with the provision of treatment,
- Unless the party gives voluntary, written consent

FRANCZEK


31

During the investigation, the investigator can tell Cameron and Parker (or an employee if involved in a complaint) not to talk to others about the complaint or investigation



Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at pollev.com/app

32



Investigation 34 C.F.R. 106.45(b)(5)

- Burden of proof on school
- Certain treatment records cannot be obtained without voluntary, written consent
- **No restriction of rights of parties to discuss allegations or gather or present evidence**
- Same opportunities for others present during interviews or related proceedings (e.g., attorney or non-attorney advisor)
- Written notice to parties of date, time, participants, purpose, and location of each investigative interview with sufficient time to prepare
- All evidence provided to parties and their advisors with 10 days to respond before report
- Written investigative report "fairly summarizes the relevant evidence" provided to parties and advisors at least 10 days before hearing or other determination of responsibility

33

Retaliation and Gag Orders

- Gag order not allowed
- Warn of risks from speaking about the complaint
- Notify all parties and witnesses of retaliation rights and encourage follow up

FRANCZEK

34

34


Parker wants to bring a classmate, who is also a witness, as the "advisor" during investigation meetings. Is that allowed?

Yes, parties can bring the advisor of choice to a meeting

No, Parker can bring a parent or attorney as an advisor, but not a witness

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at polllev.com/app

35



Investigation 34 C.F.R. 106.45(b)(5)

- Burden of proof on school
- Certain treatment records cannot be obtained without voluntary, written consent
- No restriction of rights of parties to discuss allegations or gather or present evidence
- Same opportunities for others present during interviews or related proceedings (e.g., attorney or non-attorney advisor)
- Written notice to parties of date, time, participants, purpose, and location of each investigative interview with sufficient time to prepare
- All evidence provided to parties and their advisors with 10 days to respond before report
- Written investigative report "fairly summarizes the relevant evidence" provided to parties and advisors at least 10 days before hearing or other determination of responsibility

36

Parker brings an attorney to an investigative interview, and the attorney jumps in every time a question is asked. Can you kick out the advisor and continue the meeting?

No, parties in Title IX matters have the absolute right to an advisor of their choice at every meeting

17%

No, you should remind the advisor of the rules of decorum in place and warn that the advisor will be removed if the rules are not followed again

75%

Yes, because you are allowed to have rules of decorum and if an advisor will not follow them there is no requirement to give a warning or delay the investigation

8%

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at polllev.com/app

37


Forms/Notices

Notice to Advisors

Advisor
Conduct
Expectations

FRANCZEK

38



39

Investigation

34 C.F.R. 106.45(b)(5)

- Burden of proof on school
- Certain treatment records cannot be obtained without voluntary, written consent
- No restriction of rights of parties to discuss allegations or gather or present evidence
- Same opportunities for others present during interviews or related proceedings (e.g., attorney or non-attorney advisor)
- **Written notice to parties of date, time, participants, purpose, and location of each investigative interview with sufficient time to prepare**
- All evidence provided to parties and their advisors with 10 days to respond before report
- Written investigative report "fairly summarizes the relevant evidence" provided to parties and advisors at least 10 days before hearing or other determination of responsibility

40

Before Party Interviews

Communicate in Writing:

- Date, time, location, participants, purpose
- With sufficient time to prepare

Recommend same for witnesses (not required)

FRANCZEK

41

Forms/Notices

Notice of Interview

Notice of Title IX
Interview or Meeting
with Title IX Party
(Franczek Notice 6(a))

Notice of Title IX
Interview or Meeting
with Non-Party
Witness (Franczek
Notice 6(b))

FRANCZEK

42


Before finalizing the investigative report, I must give both parties and their advisors a summary of all evidence and an opportunity to respond.

True

False

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at pollev.com/app

43



Investigation 34 C.F.R. 106.45(b)(5)

- Burden of proof on school
- Certain treatment records cannot be obtained without voluntary, written consent
- No restriction of rights of parties to discuss allegations or gather or present evidence
- Same opportunities for others present during interviews or related proceedings (e.g., attorney or non-attorney advisor)
- Written notice to parties of date, time, participants, purpose, and location of each investigative interview with sufficient time to prepare
- **All directly related evidence provided to parties and their advisors with 10 days to respond before report**
- Written investigative report "fairly summarizes the relevant evidence" provided to parties and advisors at least 10 days before hearing or other determination of responsibility

44

Directly Related Evidence

- Must share evidence directly related to the allegations with both parties and advisors simultaneously with 10 days to respond before writing the report
 - Review/consider responses
 - Share responses with the other side

FRANCZEK

45

Which of these is NOT directly related evidence in Cameron's formal complaint?

Email messages from Cameron to Parker earlier in the school year in which Cameron and Parker seem to be flirting

Draft interview notes from interviews with parties and witnesses (final version was created)

Text messages from Parker to another female student with similar conduct

Facebook messages between Cameron and another student with raunchy sexual language

Rumors from a witness of something another witness (not available to interview) said about Parker engaging in similar conduct with the unavailable witness

None of the above (they all are directly related) zoom login

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at pollev.com/app

46

Forms/Notices

Sharing of Evidence

Notice of Directly
Related Evidence
(Franczek Letter 7(a))

Notice of Other
Party's Written
Response to Evidence
(Franczek Letter 7(b))

FRANCZEK

47

Investigation 34 C.F.R. 106.45(b)(5)

- Burden of proof on school
- Certain treatment records cannot be obtained without voluntary, written consent
- No restriction of rights of parties to discuss allegations or gather or present evidence
- Same opportunities for others present during interviews or related proceedings (e.g., attorney or non-attorney advisor)
- Written notice to parties of date, time, participants, purpose, and location of each investigative interview with sufficient time to prepare
- All evidence provided to parties and their advisors with 10 days to respond before report
- Written investigative report "fairly summarizes the relevant evidence" provided to parties and advisors at least 10 days before hearing or other determination of responsibility

48

Investigation Report

- Must fairly summarize all **relevant evidence**
- Relevant evidence is different from evidence “directly related to the allegations”

FRANCZEK

49

Determining Relevance

- Evidence is generally considered relevant if it has value in proving or disproving a fact at issue
- Exceptions
 - Sexual behavior of CP (except in limited situations)
 - Legal privilege
 - Treatment records

FRANCZEK

50

Rape Shield

- Exclude evidence of Complainant's sexual behavior or predisposition
- Two narrow exceptions
 - Someone other than RP committed conduct
 - Past conduct between CP & RP to show consent
- Does not apply to Respondent

FRANCZEK

51

Treatment Records

- Can't access, consider, disclose, or use records
- Made by a physician, psychologist, or other recognized professional
- Which are made and maintained in connection with the provision of treatment,
- Unless the party gives voluntary, written consent

FRANCZEK

52

Legally Privileged Information

- Cannot use questions or evidence that seek disclosure of legally privileged information, unless waived
- Consider:
 - Attorney-client communication
 - Privilege against self-incrimination
 - Confessions to a clergy member or religious figure
 - Spousal privilege

FRANCZEK

53

Which of these is NOT relevant evidence?

Email messages from Cameron to Parker earlier in the school year in which Cameron and Parker seemed to be flirting

Draft interview notes from interviews with parties and witnesses (a final version was created)

Text messages from Parker to another female student with similar conduct

Facebook messages between Cameron and another student with raunchy sexual language

Rumors from a witness of something another witness (not available to interview) said about Parker engaging in similar conduct with the unavailable witness

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at pollev.com/app

54

Investigation Report

- Applicable policies and procedures
- Timeline of investigation
- Description of allegations
- Unbiased summary of relevant evidence gathered, including interviews
- Credibility determination(s)

FRANCZEK

55

Forms/Notices

Investigative Report

Title IX Investigative
Report Template
(Franczek Form B)

Notice of Investigative
Report (Franczek
Notice 8(a))

Notice of Other Party's
Written Response
(Franczek Notice 8(b))

Transmittal Cover
Letter to Decision-
maker at Conclusion of
Investigation (Franczek
Letter 8(c))

FRANCZEK

56

Investigation: Techniques & Best Practices

FRANCZEK

57

Cameron's Formal Complaint

- Cameron reports that the sexual assault occurred after a party. Bobbie and Ali (students) were at the party.
- After the party, Cameron told Robin, Cameron's roommate, what happened. Cameron also talked to a teacher, Mr. Smith.
- Cameron submitted to a police interview and SANE exam shortly after the incident.

FRANCZEK

58

Concurrent Law Enforcement

- Only “temporary” or “limited” allowed
 - Not “more than briefly” beyond timeframes
 - Not required
- Reasons: Impact on police fact gathering; RP self incrimination privilege; police plan to release evidence that is material to investigation on a specific timeframe

FRANCZEK

59

What must you do while delaying for law enforcement reasons?

Indefinitely suspend Parker because of the violent nature of the alleged offense

Provide equal supportive measures to the parties

Comply with timelines in other laws, if applicable

Require Parker to sit for an interview

None of the above

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at pollev.com/app

60



61

What is your order of interviews?

- Outcry Witness/Student - Robin
- Outcry Witness/Employee - Mr. Smith
- Complainant - Cameron
- Direct Witnesses/Students - Ali and Bobbie
- Respondent - Parker
- Police Witness
- Medical Witness

Start the presentation to see live content. For screen share software, share the entire screen. Get help at pollev.com/app

62

Party Interview Tips

- Describe allegations
- Avoid discussing theories or assessment of evidence
- Obtain account of events in detail (within reason)

FRANCZEK

63

Prefaces for Witnesses

- Your Identity and Role as a Neutral
- Notes and Records
- Allegations (if necessary)
- Role of Advisor (if allowed)
- Confidentiality
- Retaliation
- Rapport Building

FRANCZEK

64

Questions

- Relationships
- Identities of Parties
- Details of Conduct Between the Parties
- Effect of Alleged Conduct on the Parties
- Outcry/Reports
- Other Responses of Parties
- Documentary and Other Evidence
- Identities of Witnesses

FRANCZEK

65

Avoid

- Discussing theories or assessment of the evidence
- Suggesting agreement or outcome
- Pressuring for more information on irrelevant incidents
- Body language or words suggesting judgement


FRANCZEK

66

- Anything else?
- Any questions?
- Advisor questions (if allowed)
- Encourage follow-up
- Process (again for parties)

67

68



69



70

Emotions

- Silence is ok
- Sympathy is ok (within reason/neutral) – “I can tell this is hard” “I’m sorry this is difficult”
- Allow breaks
- Remember equality not equity is goal for processes

FRANCZEK

71

Cardinal Sins of Interviewing

- Questions that are evaluative
- Long, confusing questions
- Sticking blindly to a script
- Using undefined terms (witness can define)
- Interrupting and rushing

FRANCZEK

72

In your own words, what happened?

What did you witness?

Did you respond? If so, how?

For all: where, when, who present?

73

How did the conduct affect you?

What would you like to see as an outcome? (avoid making any promises)

74

Consider whether appropriate to ask for more incidents than offered

Perhaps instead, focus on repeating “Is there anything else you’d like to tell me or for me to look into?”

75

Retaliation

IN EVERY INTERVIEW, warn about retaliation and explain that a complaint can be filed if someone retaliates.

Watch for different treatment

Actions by staff in avoiding complainant

Harassment by the Respondent or their friends



76

After Interviews

- Follow up in writing to summarize any points that might need confirmation
- Clean up notes
- Document less formal interactions
- Send links/copies to policies if relevant
- REASSESS allegations, investigation plan, and supportive measures

FRANCZEK

77

Scope of the Investigation

Must be “thorough,” but not required to review all potential sources of evidence parties or witnesses identify



FRANCZEK

78

Recordkeeping

FRANCZEK

79

Investigation File

- What should be in the investigation file?
 - Complaint
 - Applicable Policies
 - Investigation Plan (can be a living document)
 - Records of Communications
 - Interview Notes
 - Evidence Collected
 - Report

FRANCZEK

CONFIDENTIAL

80

Interview Notes

- Include: Facts + Statements (consider quotes)
- Don't Include: Conclusions + Judgements
- Label: Name of Witness, Date, Time, Interviewer, Location, Method, Those Present

FRANCZEK

81

Recordkeeping Essentials

- Overview of Required Recordkeeping
- File Checklist

FRANCZEK

82

Questions



FRANCZEK

© Franczek P.C. 2021. These materials are not legal advice. These materials are subject to a LIMITED LICENSE AND COPYRIGHT. These materials are proprietary and are owned and copyrighted by Franczek P.C. As training materials used to train Title IX personnel, these materials must be posted publicly by any organization or entity that purchased training for its Title IX personnel using these materials on that organization or entity's website or, if it has no website, must be made available by any such organization or entity for inspection and review at its offices. Accordingly, Franczek P.C. has granted a LIMITED LICENSE to the organization or entity that lawfully purchased training using these materials (the "LICENSEE") to post these materials on its website or otherwise make them available as required by 34 C.F.R.

106.45(B)(10). The LICENSEE and any party who in any way receives and/or uses these materials agree to accept all terms and conditions and to abide by all provisions of this LIMITED LICENSE. Only the LICENSEE may post these materials on its website, and the materials may be posted only for purposes of review/inspection by the public; they may not be displayed, posted, shared, published, or used for any other purpose. Franczek P.C. does not authorize any other public display, sharing, posting, or publication of these materials by the LICENSEE or any other party and does not authorize any use whatsoever by any party other than the LICENSEE. No party, including the LICENSEE, is authorized to copy, adapt, or otherwise use these materials without explicit written permission from Franczek P.C. No party, including the LICENSEE, is authorized to remove this LIMITED LICENSE AND COPYRIGHT language from any version of these materials or any copy thereof. Should any party, including the LICENSEE, display, post, share, publish, or otherwise use these materials in any manner other than that authorized by this LIMITED LICENSE, Franczek P.C. will exercise all available legal rights and seek all available legal remedies including, but not limited to, directing the party to immediately remove any improperly posted content, cease and desist any unauthorized use, and compensate Franczek P.C. for any unauthorized use to the extent authorized by copyright and other law. These materials may not be used by any party, including the LICENSEE, for any commercial purpose unless expressly authorized in writing by Franczek P.C. No other rights are provided, and all other rights are reserved.